

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 14, 2001

LB 852

was put forth by our colleagues in Douglas-Sarpy County and Lancaster County that it would be inappropriate not to recognize that effort, an effort which came about not altogether I think without dissension because walls around here are pretty thin and you hear what happens, I think that we have arrived through the committee plan at one that, while it's not certainly probably the perfect plan, it's one that recognizes...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: ...the needs of the state. It's one that recognizes the demographics of the state. And it's one that treats all of the members of the Legislature as fairly as I believe it's possible to do so which is to give each person the 35,924 people that simple division would give to us. So from that perspective, I would recommend that we do not adopt the Burling proposal at this time although I do appreciate the effort that they put into the...into it. And were I able to wave a magic wand and having all things equal, not have to move a district, I'd be in favor of that but I don't think this one is going to work. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Suttle.

SENATOR SUTTLE: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I'm looking at this and if I were the only senator that was affected greatly by this amendment, I'd probably shut up and sit down. But since I'm not, I'm not shutting up and sitting down. If I look at this map correctly, I would have a brand new district. The only people left that are in my district now would be from my neighborhood, and I believe I might get a majority of my neighborhood in a vote. I'm not sure, but I think I might. But the rest of them wouldn't know me from "Joe Blow." So I do hesitate to go along with this. In fact, I do rise to oppose it. All of northern Douglas County going to Senator Connealy, nothing against Senator Connealy, but Decatur and Omaha together don't seem to fit real well. So I would say that I could not support this amendment. And if Senator Smith thinks that because we don't agree with this particular amendment that we are being, we and by we, Senator